

08/026,957



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO
08/026,957	03/05/93	BOYLE	P CL-213

JAMES A. GIBLIN
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS
MILES INC.
FOURTH & PARKER STREETS
BERKELEY, CA 94701

18M2/0822

BUDENS, R.
EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1806	9

DATE MAILED: 08/22/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) is extended to run 4 months or continues to run _____ from the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

- Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
 Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 8-8-94, has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 - a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
 - b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
 - c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
 - d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
 - e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____

2. Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: None
Claims objected to: None
Claims rejected: -14

However:

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Objection to the Addition of New Matter with respect to the Hydromax designated AB, and in view of Held Variability

4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because the declaration evidence of held rejections is opinion-degrading and the evidence is not convincing. Further, held indicates that application is enabled with the best crystal (see page 2, paragraph 3). However, the crystal was published after the filing date of the application.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

- The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
 Other remaining outstanding rejections are maintained for reasons of record. Applicant has not overcome Adequate Patenting, Utility, Deposit or enablement rejections.

ROBERT D. BUDENS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1800